DIVORCE IN ANCIENT ROME

Under classical Roman law <u>marriage</u> without manus was based on consent. W permission of any relevant guardians a man and woman could declare themselves as long as both were past the age of puberty, so it seemed not unreasonable that if parties withdrew consent then the marriage was over. Following this principle any woman who wished to do so could become divorced simply by sending the partne or even by declaring in front of witnesses that the marriage was over. There was n thing as joint marital property and any children of the marriage belonged to the fat there was little to argue about.

If the husband initiated the divorce he had to return the full dowry. Knowing t givers of advice, and every society has such people, urged husbands to keep the fu in a separate account so they would be able to fulfill this obligation when the time the courts were adamant on the subject. Particularly large dowries were a major in to divorce as a high financial penalty had to be paid by the one who initiated it. The dowry the more power the wife had in the relationship as long as she remained the wife (or in some cases her father) initiated the divorce, the husband was allow one-sixth for each child up to three plus, if applicable, another sixth for her adulted the diverse of the subject.

Since children were in the potestas of the father there were fewer custody suit following divorce in Ancient Rome than today. A vindictive man could ensure an never saw her children again, and this possibility may well have persuaded some v remain in an unhappy marriage. If the separation were amicable enough, parents n make private arrangements whereby children, particularly the young ones, stayed mother, but if the father wanted them he almost always won, and, of course, where children lived, he remained financially responsible. Nevertheless, there were dispu went to court. In one case a mother won custody of her child because of the father "wickedness." [2] In another case a court required an ex-husband to provide child [3] It is likely he denied being the father, and indeed, there were many occasions v woman discovered herself pregnant after having been divorced. In the absence of blood and DNA tests that could establish paternity the Romans had to depend on t calendar. A woman claiming to be pregnant by an ex-husband had to announce the within thirty days of the divorce and she could expect to be visited by a group of v sent by him to verify her condition, [4] for there were instances, or at least men be there were instances, where vengeful ex-wives passed off a stray foundling as a le son.

The first major change came with the <u>Augustan Marriage Laws</u>. A husband w required to divorce an adulterous wife or face charges of pimping, and the financia against her was increased to half of her dowry and a third of any other property sh possessed. On top of that she was then exiled to an island. [5] We have no way of how well this law was enforced but there was no change in the ability of either paget out of a marriage that had not been tainted by adultery.

By the Third Century many in Rome were having serious reservations about t with which people could get out of a marriage. Some were concerned about the in divorce was having on children, but others simply felt that society had a vested int preserving existing relationships and objected to the idea that a husband or wife co remarry, his ex-wife was allowed to come into his home and seize his new wife's on Note that Constantine's law imposed penalties but it did not invalidate the divorce law did not affect divorces that were agreeable to both partners. [6]

Some have wondered if the influence of Christianity played a role in this new but most scholars reject the notion. Christianity's opposition to divorce sprang up society where men could easily divorce their wives, sending them into the street w more than the clothes on their backs, while wives had no ability whatever to get of unhappy marriage. Christianity's anti-divorce attitude probably arose from a desirprotect women, while Constantine's legislation clearly placed a greater penalty on than it did on men. Whatever prompted Constantine's anti-divorce legislation, it d long, for the Emperor Julian (360-363) eliminated all of the penalties associated w

The pressure to make divorce difficult, however, remained, and in 421 the The Code declared that a woman who divorced her husband without proving him guilt crimes shall lose her marriage gift and dowry and be deported for life. If she can p guilty of "vices and middling faults" the deportation order will be lifted but she ca marry again. [7] This version of the divorce law applied only in the western half o Empire, and, as before, the penalties seem not to have applied to mutually agreeab divorces. Upset on hearing that there were no penalties for unjustified, unilateral d the Eastern Empire, Valentinian III in 452 issued a decree reaffirming the divorce proclaimed by Constantine in 331. [8]

The Justinian Code of 449 introduced a number of additional reasons for whic woman in the eastern half of the Empire could get a unilateral divorce without per her husband plotted to murder her, whipped her, brought prostitutes into the family had an affair with a married woman, then a wife could divorce her husband and re dowry. In the western Empire it remained almost impossible in law for a woman to of an unhappy marriage unless the husband cooperated or she proved him to be a 1 While we can be quite certain about what the law said on the subject of divorce, the evidence is not at all clear as to what happened in the real world. How many wom walked out of an abusive or unhappy home and left it up to the husband to initiate if the time came he wanted a new wife? How many times did a husband simply cc when his wife asked for a divorce? In fact, we have no way of even knowing how people wanted or got a divorce.

- [1] Rules of Ulpian, 6.9
- [2] Digest of Justinian, 43.30.3.5
- [3] Code Justinian, 5.25.3
- [4] Digest of Justinian, 25.3.1
- [5] Ibid, 2.26.14
- [6] Code Theodosius, 3.16.1
- [7] Ibid, 3.16.2
- [8] Novel 35.11 of Valentinian III